

Intervi w Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/951,630	VANCE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thach H Bui	3628	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thach H Bui.

(3) Jeff Pwu.

(2) Christopher Day.

(4) Jeff Berkowitz

Date of Interview: 19 June 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 99, 198

Identification of prior art discussed: Acebo et al. (U.S. Patent No 6,023,679) + Shoolery et al. (5,570,283)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: SEE BELOW.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

- Prompting a user to indicate at least one new travel date ; selecting the frequent trip record ; Interactive process ; Decision making features ; selecting and displaying the list of selection from the user.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required